0000061237

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION

255

2 COMMISSIONERS

1

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2006 SEP - I + P 1: 20

JEFF HATCH-MILLER, Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

MIKE GLEASON KRISTIN K. MAYES

BARRY WONG

6 IN THE MATTER OF:

RAYMOND R. PUGEL AND JULIE B. PUGEL AS TRUSTEES OF THE RAYMOND R. PUGEL AND JULIE B. PUGEL FAMILY TRUST, and ROBERT RANDALL AND SALLY RANDALL,

Complainants,

∥ v.

PINE WATER COMPANY.

Respondent.

DOCKET NO. W-03512A-06-0407

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

SEP 0 1 2006

DOCKETED BY

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

On June 21, 2006, Raymond R. Pugel and Julie B. Pugel, as trustees of the Raymond R. Pugel and Julie B. Pugel Family Trust, and Robert Randall and Sally Randall (collectively "Complainants"), filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") a Complaint against Pine Water Company ("Pine Water"). The Complaint seeks to delete property owned by the Complainants from Pine Water's certificated service area based on the allegation that Pine Water is not able to provide satisfactory and adequate water service in a reasonable time and at a reasonable rate.

On June 28, 2006, Pine Water filed an Application for Leave to Intervene¹.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Pine Water Company shall file an Answer to the attached Complaint within 20 days of the effective date of this Procedural Order, in accordance with

¹ Although it appears that the initial filing in this matter was intended to be captioned as a Complaint, Pine Water was not formally served with a copy of the Complaint by the Commission's Docket Control Division, presumably because the filing was also titled as an "Application for Deletion of Territory from Certificate of Convenience and Necessity of Pine Water Company." As a result, Pine Water was not sent a letter by Docket Control directing it to file an Answer to the Complaint in accordance with Arizona Administrative Code ("A.A.C.") R14-3-106(H). Pine Water is a party as the Respondent in this matter and, as such, there is no need to rule on its Application for Leave to Intervene. However, Pine Water will be directed to file an Answer to the Complaint, which is attached hereto, within 20 days from the date of this Procedural Order pursuant to A.A.C. R14-3-106(H).

1	A.A.C. R14-3-106(H).
2	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a procedural conference shall be conducted on
3	September 25, 2006, at 11:30 a.m., at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street
4	Phoenix, Arizona.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Commission's Utilities Division Staff shall enter ar
6	appearance at the September 25, 2006 procedural conference.
7	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend
8	or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling a
9 10	hearing. Dated this day of September, 2006
11	
12	Drodes
13	DWIGHT D. NODES
14	ASSISTANT CHIEF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
15	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this day of September, 2006 to:
16	John G. Gliege Ernest G. Johnson, Director Stephanie J. Gliege Utilities Division
17	GLIEGE LAW OFFICES P.O. Box 1388 GUINGS DIVISION ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1200 West Washington
18	Flagstaff, AZ 86002 Phoenix, AZ 85007 Attorneys for Complainants
19 20	Jay L. Shapiro Patrick J. Black FENNEMORE CRAIG ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 2627 N. Third Street, Ste. Three Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1126
21	3003 North Central Avenue, Ste. 2600 Phoenix, AZ 85012
22	Attorneys for Pine Water Company (CERTIFIED AND REGULAR MAIL) By:
23	Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel Secretary to Dwight D. Nodes
24	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
25	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
26	
27	
28	